Task: Te Rā o ANZAC			
Approach: Focus:TeamPlanning information gathering and appropriate questionsResources:E 2 ngā whārangi whakautu A4; whārangi whakautu A3; kāri tohutohu mā te rōpū; kāri pātai mā te takirua; pene miramira			NEMP Access Task
Questions / instructions:			%
l tēnei mahi, ka āta whakaaro koutou mō te rangahau i te rā whakamaumahara o ANZAC. Tuatahi, ka whakawhitiwhiti whakaaro koutou mō te rā o		Selection of final three questions: Collaboration – decisions made by consensus, involving constructive dialogue	response O
ANZAC. Tuhia ō koutou whakaaro me ō koutou mōhiotanga katoa mō te rā o ANZAC. Hoatu te whārangi whakautu A3 me te pene.		decisions made by consensus, quick agreement without much discussion	67
Tuhia ō koutou mōhiotanga katoa mō te rā o ANZAC ki tēnei pepa. Tuhia ngā whakaaro katoa. Anei ētahi tohutohu.		decisions made without consensus, through initiative of one or two members	25
Tukuna he wā mahi.hei tuhituhInājanaji ka mahi takirua2Tuhia ngā v	ko tētahi o koutou i ngā kōrero, vhakaaro o naā tānaata	decisions made after disagreement, with disagreements clearly not resolved (at least one person unhappy about decision)	8
koutou ki te whiriwhiri he aha atu ngã kõrero mõ3. Hoatu he w katoa o te	a kõrero ki naā tānaata	First Question –	
koutou. Tuhia ētahi pātai ki te kaiako. rangahau e whā. Kāore		gave relevant "new" information, potentially very rich in detail/depth	25
koutou i te mõhio ki ngā whakautu mõ ēnei pātai. Angi ātabi tabutabu	<u>mā te Mahi Takirua</u> gā kaupapa rangahau e rā o ANZAC. hā ngā pātai hei arataki	gave relevant "new" information, but likely to be quite succinct (eg. single fact)	67
Pānuitia te kāri tohutohu (takirua) ki te rōpū. E rima meneti hei whiriwhiri, hei tuhi i ā koutou pātai.		gave irrelvant information or information already available in brainstorm	8
Whakaritea ngā rōpū takirua – ngā ākonga 1, 2; ngā ākonga 3, 4. Hoatu he whārangi whakautu ki ia takirua, he pene rākau, he kāri tohutohu hoki. Tukuna kia 5 meneti hei mahi i tēnei kaupapa. Ināianei ka mahi ā-rōpū anō koutou. Mā ia takirua e whakaatu, e pānui hoki ā rāua pātai e whā. Kātahi ka āta whiriwhiri i ngā pātai tino pai rawa atu hei āwhina i tā koutou mahi rangahau mō		Second Question – gave relevant "new" information, potentially very rich in detail/depth	33
		gave relevant "new" information, but likely to be quite succinct (eg. single fact)	58
ANZAC. Whiriwhiria kia toru anake ngā pātai, ka miramira ai ki te pene miramira nei. Hoatu he wā hei whiriwhiri mā te rōpū i ngā pātai e toru.		gave irrelvant information or information already available in brainstorm	8
Tēnā pānuitia mai ā koutou pātai e toru.	%	Third Question –	
Brainstorm process:	response	gave relevant "new" information, potentially very rich in detail/depth	8
3/4 or 2/3 members of	ubstantially 42 contributed	gave relevant "new" information, but likely to be quite succinct (eg. single fact)	83
1/4, 1/2 or 1/3 members of	ubstantially 33 contributed ubstantially 25	gave irrelvant information or information already available in brainstorm	8
Acceptance – all ideas received com majority of ideas received com	•		

Commentary:

half or less of ideas received

no member has all or most of their ideas rejected

one member had all or most

most of their ideas rejected

two or more members had all or

of their ideas rejected

constructively

Generally, the discussion process required for the successful completion of this task was conducted effectively by the students. The final questions selected by the groups were mainly questions that would give relevant new information but were likely to be limited to simple factual questions (when, who, what, etc.) rather than questions that would give richer information (how, why, if, etc.)

Rejection –