Trend Task:	NEMP	Foam Clowns
Approach:	Group Task	Year: 4 & 8
Focus:	Giving instructions	
Resources:	1 clipboard, 4 packs of foam shapes, 4 pictures	

Questions / instructions:

In this activity you are each going to give instructions to the others in your team to make a foam clown.

Hand each student a pack of foam shapes.

Here are your foam shapes. You will each get a picture of a different clown. You need to **tell** the others how to make the clown – but you can't show them or move their foam pieces. Try to make your instructions very clear.

Let's begin with [Student 1]. I'll give you a picture of a clown. Don't let the others in the team see your picture, but watch what they are doing to check that you are giving clear instructions. When the others have **finished** making the clown, show them the picture.

Attach picture 1 to clipboard and give to Student 1. Ensure that students cannot see the photo being described. Repeat for Student 2, Student 3, Student 4.

0-2

21 (19)

5 (8)

2 3 brow 1 jagg 2 blue 2 gree 3 yello 2 red s	white circle in triangles ed green ser triangles n semi circles w triangles emi circles i yellow triang	ni circle	form shapes 1 pink semi circle 1 pink diamond 4 smiles (1 each of red, pink, blue, green) 2 small squares (1 pink, 1 yellow) 5 small circles (1 brown, 1 red, 1 pink, 2 blue) 2 small yellow circles with dots 2 small white circles with dots 2 small white circles with crosses			
		ponse ('04)	4)		% response 2008 ([•] 04) vear 4 . vear 8	
Clarity of individual instructions:	year 4	year 8	Efficiency of set of instructions	year 4	year o	
(including shape, colour, place) high	25 (23)	43 (37)	(e.g. sequenced in correct sensible order;			
moderate	56 (62)	52 (55)	extent to which correct positions signalled without need for corrective instructions)			
low	19 (15)	5 (8)	very efficient (no questions)	16 (11)	31 (23)	
Completeness of set of instructions:			moderately efficient (few questions)	64 (68)	63 (69)	
(i.e. to what extent should it have allowed the participants to create the desired picture)			inefficient	20 (21)	6 (8)	
all details covered	35 (26)	55 (55)	Total score: 7	9 (6)	23 (15)	
most details covered (1-2 wrong)	32 (39)	33 (27)	6	11 (9)	16 (19)	
moderately complete (2+ wrong)	27 (29)	11 (15)	5	22 (18)	27 (29)	
very incomplete	6 (6)	1 (3)	3–4	37 (48)	29 (29)	





Commentary:

This was a very popular task with a wide range of performance. Presenting a clear, complete and efficient set of instructions for such tasks is not easy. The improvement from year 4 to year 8 was moderate. There was little change from 2004 to 2008. At the bottom end, year 8 Pasifika students scored poorly, almost unchanged from year 4 Pasifika students.