Trend Task:

Approach:	One to one	Year:
Focus:	Students can form their own viewpoint on an artwork after considering others' viewpoints	
Resources:	Video recording on laptop computer, picture	

Questions / instructions:

This activity uses the computer. Click the Paul Dibble button.

We're going to watch a video clip which shows an artist called Paul Dibble, and some of the work he has made. You will also see some people looking at his work.

Click the Paul Dibble 1 button. (scenes of installation of sculpture; opening celebration; people viewing the work; etc.)

Here is a picture of one of Paul Dibble's sculptures.

Show picture. (similar to last frame adjacent)

1. Tell me what you think of this sculpture.	% response 2007 ('03)			
2. What do you like about it, or not like about it?		year 8		Diff
definite strong reaction, well explained definite strong reaction, but not well explained	11 (6) 26 (21)	18 (23) 23 (19)		tl idei w
moderate reaction, with some explanation	35 (43)	38 (37)		c
moderate reaction, with no explanation22 (23)17 (12)Now listen to what a couple of other people said about it.2223			5. 6.	Do Whi Wh
Click the Paul Dibble 2 button. (shot of sculpture similar to last frame above)				Exp (art o
VIDEO VOICEOVER: PERSON 1: Oh I do like it. I just love the lines and the sh way it looks so important, and peaceful and happy. P a clever artist. We are so lucky to have this sculpture of everyone can see it and touch it. It's so cool!		shap repre		
PERSON 2: Well, it's supposed to be a woman, but it's looking woman if you ask me. Look at the legs and the body – and her head! They don't look very real to me odd. I think that if we are going to have a statue of a should look like one and it should be more colourful.				

- 3. What did you notice about what these two people were saying?
- 4. Why do you think they said such very different things about the same sculpture?

Subgroup Analyses:



Commentary:

Students differed widely in their ability to discuss this sculpture by Paul Dibble. A number of students, at both year 4 and year 8, were able to define and articulate their likes or dislikes about the work, whereas other students had great difficulty with the task. Pasifika students at year 4 and Māori students at year 8 were less successful at discussing the works than Pakeha students at the respective year levels. Gender differences were small, and performance in 2003 was quite similar to 2007.



		2007 ('03)	
	Different views:	year 4	year 8
	identified differences and explained that people have different preferences	35 (32)	61 (66)
	identified differences, but did not explain why people have different preferences	34 (41)	24 (19)
	did not clearly identify the differences, and/or explain that people have different preferences	23 (23)	14 (14)
5.	Do you agree with any of those people? Which one?		
6.	Why do you agree with them? Expression of preference: (art criteria, such as proportions, balance, shapes, colour, interesting materials, originality, representational qualities)		
	clear preference, well explained	10 (8)	21 (13)
	clear preference, but not well explained		54 (55)
	partial agreement with both, well explained	6 (7)	11 (14)
	partial agreement with both, but not well explained	9 (8)	12 (17)
	Total score: 10–11 8–9 6–7 4–5 0–3	8 (1) 31 (38) 40 (36) 15 (17) 6 (8)	16 (16) 35 (39) 37 (30) 10 (13) 2 (3)

Paul Dibble

% response

4 & 8

43