Trend Task: Tossing a Die

 Approach:
 One to one

 Focus:
 Use probabilities for prediction

 Resources:
 1 die, recording book

Questions / instructions:	% response 2009 ('05)				% response 2009 ('05)
Table 1. Predictions	year 8	Table 2. Actual Amounts			year
Number How many times each number might come up in 30 tosses		Number on Die	How many times up in 30 tosses	each number came	
1			Tally	Amount	
2		1			
3 4		2			
5		4			
6		5			
nagine you threw a die 30 times.		6			
Fill in the table to show how many times you think each number would come up [Table 1].		5. Throw this die 30 times. Use this tally chart to record how often the numbers come up [<i>Table 2</i>].			
Allow time.			time. Count the		
		the stu	udent but don't	tally for them.	
Predictions:		Used 1	ally system:		
✓ varied for each number but			yes, includ	ling clusters of five	79 (
no number was given more than 10	49 (56)			ing clusters of five	18 (
prediction for each number was 5	42 (35)			Ŭ	
any other response	9 (9)	Tallies	totalled 30:		76 (
Why do you think those numbers are reasonable? Explanation:			en your predictio	e are differences ons and what you	
showed a <u>clear</u> understanding of variation in probability	3 (3)	(extent	nation: to which the expla I understanding of		
showed expectation of	× ′		n in probability)	strong	1 (
an even distribution from throws	38 (34)	moderate			21 (
If someone put down that 12 out of the 30 would be sixes, would that be	~ /		weak	or no explanation	78 (
unusual or surprising? yes	81 (70)				
Why do you say that? Explanation:					
 showed a <u>clear</u> understanding of variation in probability, but indicated 12 out of 30 would be unusually high 	3 (3)				
showed a clear understanding of				0	
variation in probability, and thought			Iotal	Score: 4–7	5 (
12 out of 30 was a reasonable possibility	4 (2)			3	6 (
showed an expectation that the				2	48 (
distribution would be even	13 (8)			1	33 (
				0	8 (1
ubgroup Analyses:				0	8

NEMP Access Task

Year:

8



Commentary:

The total score centred on understanding of randomness and probability, and few year 8 students performed well. All subgroups performed similarly.