Trend Task:		NEMP	J	ar Opener
Approach:	One to one	Access Task		Year: 4 & 8
Focus:	Investigating and evaluating design			
Resources:	Jar opener, jar with lid firmly screwed on			

Questions / instructions:

Give student opener and jar.

This is an opener for helping to get the lids off jars. You can pick it up and have a good look at it. You can also try using it on this jar.

Allow time.



	% response 2004 ('00)	
	year 4	year 8
1. What is special about the design of the opener that helps open jars?		
 Tell me why it could be easier to open a jar using this tool rather than using your hands. 		
Teeth - to grip lid, hold it tightly:		
feature and use	50 (36)	64 (70)
feature <u>or</u> use	28 (27)	22 (18)
Its materials - to make it strong:		
feature and use	3 (4)	3 (3)
feature <u>or</u> use	4 (7)	3 (4)
Includes provision for a wide range of lid sizes:		
feature and use	19 (20)	34 (58)
feature <u>or</u> use	10 (11)	13 (6)
Leverage action gives		
opening power: feature and use	1 (1)	6 (6)
feature <u>or</u> use	5 (6)	8 (13)
Padded handles - aid comfort/strength of grip:		
feature and use	2 (3)	4 (7)
feature <u>or</u> use	9 (10)	15 (14)
Hook for levering off lids: (e.g. AG lids)	2 (4)	6 (11)

	% response 2004 ('00)	
	year 4	year 8
The jar opener is a special type of tool called a lever.		
Can you think of another tool at home that works like a lever?		
Appropriate lever given:		
yes – can opener (only)	43 (42)	41 (42)
yes – same type as jar opener (pair of levers hinged at fulcrum)	18 (18)	28 (27)
yes – other type of lever	13 (17)	15 (20)
no	26 (23)	16 (11)
Total score: 5–9	6 (4)	15 (27)
4	12 (14)	22 (27)
3	13 (12)	1 4 (15)
2	35 (25)	32 (19)
1	19 (30)	11 (10)
0	15 (15)	6 (2)
Commentary:		

Commentary:

While most students identified the importance of the teeth, less than half identified the provision to handle a range of lid sizes, and relatively few identified the padded handle, gripping power from the lever action, or strength of the materials. About 20 percent more year 8 than year 4 students scored four or more. There was little change between 2000 and 2004 for year 4 students, but a small decrease in performance for year 8 students.